Single Bench

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR.

W.P. No. 202 /05

Kumari Geetanjali Manekar aged about 28 years
D/o. Shri Kundlik Manekar.
Resident of near Chandrakar Kirana Stores,
Mahabir Nagar, Purena, Raipur

Petitioner

R.R. No. 230/05
Presented by Shri Satyendra Singh
date 19.01.05

VERSUS

1. State of Chhattisgarh
   Through:
   Secretary Tribal Deptt.
   D.K.S .Bhavan
   Raipur.

2. Commissioner
   Schedule Cast Schedule Tribes Development.
   Pandit Ravi Shankar Shukla University Campus,
   Raipur. CG.

3. Secretary,
   Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board,
   Dangania, Raipur.

Respondents

WRIT PETITION UNDER . ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR GRANT OF WRIT OF. MANDAMUS , CERTIORARY OR DIRECTION OF LIKE NATURE.

(46)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 202/05 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

24.1.2005

Shri N.K. Vyas, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri J.D. Bajpaee, Govt. Advocate for the State.

The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226/227 of Constitution of India with the grievance that the petitioner belongs to Scheduled Caste, she completed her graduation in Electrical Engineering and accordingly, she applied for appointment on the post of Junior Engineer before respondent No.3-Board. The petitioner was selected in the written test and personal interview, therefore, respondent No.3 issued a memo dated 20.2.2004 directing the petitioner to submit her documents along with caste certificate. In compliance of that the petitioner submitted her documents along with caste certificate on 22.3.2004, which were sent to respondent No.2 by respondent No.3 for verification and in pursuance of that respondent No.2 demanded certain documents from the petitioner vide memo dated 29.9.2004. In compliance thereof the petitioner submitted demanded documents before respondent No.2 on 8.10.2004, but till date respondent No.2 has not decided the validity of caste certificate issued in favour of the petitioner in spite of repeated request of the petitioner, as the vacancy on which the petitioner is to be appointed is likely to be lapsed in the month of February,

GRPRJ—FS/350—12/2000—50,000.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 202/05 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

2005 and if respondent No.2 failed to verify the caste certificate issued in favour of the petitioner, then the vacancy will be lapsed and irreparable loss will be caused to the petitioner. Therefore, learned counsel for the petitioner prayed that respondent No.2 be directed to give his decision about the validity of caste certificate issued in favour of the petitioner, to which learned State counsel has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and having regard to the fact that the vacancy on which the petitioner is to be appointed is likely to be lapsed in the month of February, 2005, respondent No.2 is directed to verify correctness of the caste certificate of the petitioner and give his decision by 15th of February, 2005 in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid direction, the Petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.W.P. No.170/2005 & I.A. No.452/05 also stand disposed of.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

GRPRJ—FS/350—12/2000—50,000.